

1345 Wilson Hall Road Sumter, SC 29150

Grades PK-12 District Enrollment 8,816 Students

SuperintendentDr. J. Frank Baker803-469-6900Board ChairMr. Larry Addison803-499-1181

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA NNUAL DISTRICT REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING
2009	Below Average	At-Risk
2008	Below Average	Below Average
2007	Average	Below Average
2006	Average	At-Risk
2005	Average	At-Risk

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, SC's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov Sumter 2 School District 06/01/10-4302


95.1%

Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating

Percent of students tested in 2008-09 whose 2007-08 test scores were located

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF DISTRICTS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*							
Excellent	Excellent Good Average Below Average At-Risk						
0	0	1	9	0			

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 06/01/2010.



^{*} Districts with Students Like Ours are districts with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the district.

Definition of 0	Critical Terms
Exemplary	"Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard.
Met	"Met" means the student met the grade level standard.
Not Met	"Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard.

Sumter 2 School District 06/01/10-4302

High School Assessment Program (HSAP) Exam Passage Rate: Second Year Students

		Our Distri	ct		ts with St Like Ours	
Percent	2007	2008	2009	2007	2008	2009
Passed both subtests	71.2%	73.0%	68.6%	74.4%	75.2%	72.3%
Passed one subtest	16.6%	16.0%	18.7%	14.0%	12.8%	14.1%
Passed no subtests	12.2%	11.0%	12.7%	11.6%	11.9%	13.5%

End of Course Tests		
Percent of tests with scores of 70 or above on:	Our District	Districts with Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	58.3%	72.6%
English 1	58.8%	58.8%
Physical Science	45.3%	42.4%
US History and the Constitution	34.3%	33.6%
All Subjects	49.9%	52.3%

Sumter 2 School District 06/01/10-4302

District Profile

District Forms	Our District	Change from Last Year	Districts with Students Like Ours	Median District
Students (n=8,816)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	99.1%	Up from 98.8%	99.7%	99.7%
Retention rate	1.9%	No Change	3.0%	3.1%
Attendance rate	95.7%	Up from 95.6%	95.4%	95.7%
Eligible for gifted and talented	10.8%	Up from 9.8%	9.8%	11.2%
With disabilities other than speech	10.6%	Down from 10.7%	10.6%	10.6%
Older than usual for grade	4.2%	Down from 4.7%	4.2%	4.3%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.3%	Up from 0.2%	0.6%	0.5%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	1.6%	Down from 2.4%	7.4%	10.5%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	40.8%	51.2%
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship	30.5%	Up from 29.8%	29.5%	30.8%
Enrolled in adult education GED or diploma programs	71	Down from 79	25	40
Completions in adult education GED or diploma programs	70	Down from 71	12	30
Annual dropout rate	3.9%	Down from 5.0%	3.1%	3.4%
Teachers (n=542)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	54.4%	Down from 54.7%	55.8%	56.8%
Continuing contract teachers	61.4%	Up from 59.6%	73.6%	76.7%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	13.3%	Down from 14.2%	6.5%	4.6%
Teachers returning from previous year	81.3%	Up from 81.2%	87.4%	88.4%
Teacher attendance rate	95.9%	Up from 95.6%	94.8%	95.0%
Average teacher salary*	\$43,424	Up 3.9%	\$46,130	\$46,992
Vacancies for more than nine weeks	0.4%	Down from 0.8%	0.4%	0.4%
Professional development days/teacher	13.6 days	Down from 15.7 days	14.1 days	13.1 days
District				
Superintendent's years at district	17.0	Up from 16.0	5.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	22.8 to 1	Down from 23.6 to 1	20.5 to 1	20.5 to 1
Prime instructional time	90.7%	Up from 90.2%	89.5%	89.8%
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$8,206	Up 4.1%	\$9,203	\$9,279
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	48.8%	Down from 50.9%	50.2%	52.7%
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	53.5%	Down from 53.9%	54.1%	56.7%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No Change	Excellent	Excellent
Number of schools	15	No Change	7	9
Number of magnet schools	0	No Change	0	0
Portable classrooms	5.1%	Down from 5.7%	3.3%	3.5%
Average age in years of school facilities	27 Years	Down from 29 Years	39 Years	28 Years
Number of schools with SACS accreditation	15.0	No Change	6.0	8.0
Parents attending conferences	89.1%	Down from 91.5%	95.2%	93.9%
Average administrator salary	\$81,013	Up 5.3%	\$80,660	\$79,261

^{*} Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

^{**} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Performance B	y Student Group	s
---------------	-----------------	---

		age Rate by g 2009		rse Passage ate	C	Graduation Rate	
	n	%	t	%	n	%	Met State Objective
All Students	521	93.5%	2580	49.9%	643	81.5%	Yes
Gender							
Male	250	91.6%	1241	49.3%	301	77.7%	N/A
Female	271	95.2%	1339	50.4%	342	84.8%	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	172	95.9%	861	62.5%	219	83.6%	N/A
Africian American	336	92.0%	1644	43.0%	407	80.1%	N/A
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	17	64.7%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	42	45.2%	N/A	N/A	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	12	66.7%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disability Status							
Disabled	51	54.9%	229	22.7%	62	46.8%	N/A
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency							
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	19	31.6%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsidized meals	323	90.7%	1678	44.2%	392	77.0%	N/A

NOTE: n=number of students on which percentage is calculated; t=number of tests taken.

HSAP Passage	Rate by	y Spring	2009

	Our District	Districts with Students Like Ours		
Percent	93.5%	92.6%		
: - : -				

On-Time Graduation Rate

	Our District	Districts with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	643	420
Number of Diplomas	524	313
Rate	81.5%	74.8%

College Admissions Tests

SAT	Critical	Reading	Ma	ath	W	riting	To	otal
	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009
District	454	437	465	447	447	430	1365	1315
State	484	482	496	496	471	467	1451	1445
Nation	497	496	510	510	488	487	1495	1493
				_				

ACT	English		Math		Reading		Science		Total	
	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009
District	15.4	15.3	16.9	16.8	17.2	16.9	17.1	17.4	16.8	16.7
State	18.9	18.9	20.1	19.9	19.7	19.7	19.6	19.7	19.7	19.7
Nation	20.6	20.6	21.0	21.0	21.4	21.4	20.8	20.9	21.1	21.1

Sumter 2 School District	06/01/10-4302
School District Governance	
Board Membership	7 trustees elected to single-member seats
Fiscal Authority	County Council
Average Number of Hours of Training Annually	20.0 per board member
Percent New Trustees Completing Orientation	N/A

District Superintendent's Report

Sumter School District Two serves approximately 8.800 students throughout Sumter County. We are a progressive industrial, agricultural, and rural community whose mission is to ensure the highest quality education for each student. Our schools serve students from a 676 square mile area.

District Two is a fiscally responsible school district and prides itself on being a good steward of the taxpayers' dollars. We keep a close eye on our expenditures and make sure we spend the dollars where they are most needed. We continue to seek grants to enhance the quality of instruction in our district. We are currently involved in building projects to enable us to make renovations and additions to eight of our schools and the Sumter County Career Center.

The district was visited in March by a quality assurance team who recognized us as a "quality school system." The international accrediting organization visited the district and schools, certified that District Two met all the standards, and recommended that District Two receive the AdvancED Accreditation, Only 20 other school districts in the state have earned this accreditation. Many areas were reviewed in earning the accreditation, and the process included an intense look at instruction, faculty and staff professional development, technology, communication, and leadership.

Although our District has made significant strides in test scores in most areas, our instructional leaders remain diligent in addressing areas for improvement in performance on the PASS and other standardized testing. District teachers continue to participate in professional development activities, studying the latest Standards In Practice, reviewing and learning from student results from Benchmark and MAP tests, and updating plans to increase student learning. The district staff development program continues to provide training in a variety of professional areas.

Although we faced dire budget cuts during the 2008-2009 school year, our Board of Trustees remained committed to academic excellence and fiscal responsibility. District administrators and employees continue to provide and enhance the educational quality that exemplifies Sumter School District Two.

We are proud of our outstanding academic, athletic, and additional extracurricular programs. Our combined senior classes of 2008 from Crestwood and Lakewood High Schools earned a combined total of \$16.8 million in scholarship funds. It is an exciting time in Sumter School District Two. For up-to-date information in the district, visit our website at www.Sumter2.org, which includes links to all of our schools, or visit our schools and see why we are so proud of each of them.

J. Frank Baker Superintendent Sumter 2 School District 06/01/10-4302

No Child Left Behind

District Adequate Yearly Progress

Nο

This district met 27 out of 29 objectives. The objectives included student performance, graduation rate or student attendance, and participation in the state testing program.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for "All Students" and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency in the areas of English/Language Arts and Mathematics, as well as meeting the statewide target for "All Students" for attendance or graduation rate.

District Improvement Status

Continuing District Improvement

The district missed AYP for three years. Sanctions: Revise or continue the district improvement plan.

Number of students in their first year of US school.

0

Title I Schools' School Improvement Status									
School	Status	School	Status						
Mayewood Middle	CA-DELAY	Shaw Heights Elementary	NI						
Cherryvale Elementary	CSI-DELAY	Pocalla Springs Elementary	CA						
R E Davis Elementary	NI-DELAY		•						

The Sumter 2 School District consists of 15 public schools with 5 of these schools, or 33.3%, in improvement status.

Schoo	Improvement Key
NI	Newly Identified-The school missed adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two years. Sanction: Offer school choice.
CSI	Continuing School Improvement-The school missed AYP for three years. Sanctions: Continue school choice and implement supplemental services.
CA	Corrective Action-The school missed AYP for four years. Sanction: Continue school choice and supplemental services. The school district takes a corrective action.
RP	Plan to Restructure-Sanctions: Continue school choice and supplemental services. Develop a plan to restructure. If the school misses AYP the next year, the school implements the restructuring plan.
R	Restructure-The school missed AYP after two years of corrective action. Sanctions: Implement the restructuring plan. Continue school choice and supplemental services.
DELAY	The school met AYP in all subgroups and the indicator for one year, thus the delay provision applies. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Delay."
HOLD	The school made progress for one year in the subject area that identified the school for school improvement. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Hold."

Sumter 2 School Dist	rict							06/01/	10-4302
PASS Performance B	y Group								
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	District % Met or Exemplary*	State % Met or Exemplary*	Performance Objective Met	Participation Objective Met
English/Lang	uage Art	s - State	Perform	ance Ob	jective =	58.8% (1	Met or Ex	kemplary)
All Students	3912	99.8	29.5	42.5	28.0	81.4	82.8	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	2008	99.8	33.2	39.0	27.8	77.6	79.3	N/A	N/A
Female	1904	99.8	25.7	46.1	28.2	85.4	86.5	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group		1			1			1	
White	1520	99.7	21.2	40.9	38.0	87.7	89.5	Yes	Yes
Africian American	2237	99.8	35.6	44.2	20.2	76.8	73.7	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	34	100.0	12.9	38.7	48.4	96.8	92.3	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	97	100.0	26.1	28.3	45.7	83.7	76.5 82.5	Yes I/S	Yes I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	18	100.0	37.5	50.0	12.5	75.0	02.3	1/5	1/5
Disability Status Disabled	529	99.8	60.8	27.7	11.5	52.5	52.0	No	Yes
Migrant Status	529	99.0	00.0	21.1	11.5	52.5	52.0	INO	res
Migrant	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	66.1	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient	·	., 0	,, 0	., 0	., 0	,, 0	00		1471
Limited English	39	100.0	37.1	28.6	34.3	74.3	75.1	I/S	I/S
Socio-Economic Status	- 00	100.0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	20.0	01.0	7 1.0		,, 0	., 0
Subsized meals	2873	99.9	34.2	43.5	22.3	78.4	75.5	Yes	Yes
	I	ı	1		ı	1	l	ı	
	atics - St					% (Met o		1	
All Students	3911	99.8	34.1	45.0	21.0	76.1	78.9	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	2007	99.8	36.6	41.6	21.8	73.7	77.0	N/A	N/A
Female	1904	99.8	31.4	48.6	20.1	78.5	80.9	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	4540	00.7	04.4	45.0	00.0	00.5	07.0	V	
White	1519	99.7	24.4	45.3	30.2	83.5	87.2	Yes	Yes
Africian American	2237	99.8	41.4	44.9	13.7	70.4	66.7	Yes	Yes I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander Hispanic	34 97	100.0	19.4 19.6	35.5 44.6	45.2 35.9	90.3 85.9	93.0 76.0	I/S Yes	Yes
American Indian/Alaskan	18	100.0	43.8	43.8	12.5	68.8	79.5	I/S	I/S
Disability Status	10	100.0	70.0	70.0	12.0	00.0	10.0	1/0	"0
Disabled	529	99.8	70.8	21.1	8.1	38.4	45.5	No	Yes
Migrant Status									
Migrant	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	75.7	N/A	N/A

39

2873

100.0

99.9

28.6

39.1

Limited English Proficient Limited English

Socio-Economic Status Subsized meals 37.1

44.2

34.3

16.7

74.3

71.9

76.1

70.2

I/S

I/S

^{*} Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

Sumter 2 School Distr	rict					06/0	1/10-4302
PASS Performance B	y Group						
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	District % Met or Exemplary	State % Met or Exemplary
			Science				
All Students	2595	99.5	37.2	48.1	14.7	62.8	67.5
Gender							
Male	1326	99.6	36.5	46.7	16.7	63.5	67.0
Female	1269	99.5	37.9	49.5	12.6	62.1	68.0
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	1011	99.5	24.3	51.7	24.0	75.7	79.5
Africian American	1475	99.6	47.2	44.9	7.9	52.8	50.3
Asian/Pacific Islander	23	95.7	15.0	60.0	25.0	85.0	84.3
Hispanic	69	98.6	20.3	57.8	21.9	79.7	60.7
American Indian/Alaskan	12	100.0	45.5	45.5	9.1	54.5	71.2
Disability Status							
Disabled	350	99.4	66.2	24.4	9.4	33.8	35.6
Migrant Status							
Migrant	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	46.1
Limited English Proficient							
Limited English	27	96.3	30.4	56.5	13.0	69.6	59.6
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsized meals	1906	99.6	42.6	46.4	10.9	57.4	55.1
		S	Social Studi	es			
All Students	2594	99.6	31.5	48.8	19.8	68.5	72.3
Gender							
Male	1324	99.6	32.5	45.3	22.3	67.5	71.5
Female	1270	99.5	30.5	52.4	17.2	69.5	73.2
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	1006	99.4	25.6	47.3	27.1	74.4	80.7
Africian American	1487	99.7	36.4	49.7	13.9	63.6	60.0
Asian/Pacific Islander	20	100.0	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	88.5
Hispanic	70	100.0	17.9	44.8	37.3	82.1	68.0
American Indian/Alaskan	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	72.2
Disability Status							
Disabled	339	99.4	60.5	33.1	6.4	39.5	43.5
Migrant Status							
Migrant	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	50.7
Limited English Proficient							
Limited English	26	100.0	26.1	43.5	30.4	73.9	67.9
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsized meals	1918	99.5	35.7	49.2	15.1	64.3	62.1

Sumter 2 School Dist	rict							06/01/	10-4302
PASS Performance B	y Group								
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	District % Met or Exemplary	State % Met or Exemplary	District Attendance Rate	State Attendance Rate
			٧	Vriting					
All Students	3927	98.7	36.8	40.4	22.8	63.2	70.2	96.0	96.1
Gender									
Male	2018	98.4	44.7	37.0	18.3	55.3	63.2	95.8	96.0
Female	1909	99.1	28.4	44.0	27.6	71.6	77.5	96.1	96.3
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	1525	99.0	31.3	39.6	29.1	68.8	79.1	95.3	95.9
Africian American	2245	98.5	41.4	41.0	17.7	58.6	57.6	96.5	96.3
Asian/Pacific Islander	37	97.3	20.6	32.4	47.1	79.4	86.2	97.1	97.3
Hispanic	96	99.0	22.8	45.7	31.5	77.2	62.6	95.5	96.5
American Indian/Alaskan	18	100.0	43.8	43.8	12.5	56.3	68.7	95.7	94.9
Disability Status									
Disabled	535	93.6	78.9	17.1	4.0	21.1	26.1	95.3	95.2
Migrant Status									
Migrant	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	54.7	99.9	96.5
Limited English Proficient									
Limited English	42	97.6	31.6	50.0	18.4	68.4	61.2	95.9	96.8
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsized meals	2853	98.5	41.7	40.0	18.4	58.3	58.9	95.7	95.6

Sumt	er 2 School [District				06	6/01/10-4302
PASS	S Performano	e By Grade L	evel				
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary
			English	n/Language A	ırts		
	3	717	100.0	25.3	35.3	39.4	74.7
6	4	677	100.0	30.7	40.5	28.8	69.3
2009	5	679	99.4	20.3	49.2	30.4	79.7
2(6	606	99.7	29.1	48.4	22.5	70.9
	7	604	99.8	36.0	39.4	24.6	64.0
	8	629	99.7	37.3	42.7	20.0	62.7
			M	lathematics			
	3	717	100.0	34.4	40.4	25.2	65.6
6	4	677	100.0	25.7	48.5	25.8	74.3
2009	5	679	99.4	24.0	47.9	28.1	76.0
2(6	605	99.8	31.6	46.0	22.4	68.4
	7	604	99.8	44.6	44.4	11.0	55.4
	8	629	99.7	45.6	42.9	11.5	54.4
				Science			

2009	3 4 5 6 7	359 677 341 301 604	98.9 100.0 99.7 98.7 99.8	40.0 36.8 29.0 38.5 36.1	41.5 50.9 56.1 52.0 46.1	18.5 12.3 15.0 9.5 17.8	60.0 63.2 71.0 61.5 63.9			
	8	313	99.0	44.8	40.6	14.6	55.2			
Social Studies										
	3	360	98.3	37.7	43.7	18.7	62.3			
6	4	677	99.9	20.7	62.9	16.3	79.3			
2009	5	336	99.4	27.0	47.6	25.4	73.0			
2	6	305	99.3	21.9	67.2	10.9	78.1			
	7	603	100.0	46.8	30.6	22.7	53.2			
	8	313	100.0	31.9	43.3	24.8	68.1			
				Writing						
	3	717	99.4	39.5	30.2	30.3	60.5			
6	4	681	98.2	33.1	40.3	26.6	66.9			
2	5	679	97.1	27.5	44.6	28.0	72.5			
2009	6	600	99.0	39.8	43.0	17.2	60.2			
	7	616	99.5	37.3	42.6	20.1	62.7			

43.9

43.1

56.1

13.0

634

99.2

HSAP Performance By	v Group									
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	District % Proficient or Advanced*	State % Proficient or Advanced*	Performance Objective Met	Participation Objective Met
English/Languag	ge Arts -	State F	Performa	ance Ob	jective	= 71.3%	(Profic	ient or A	Advance	ed)
All Students	648	98.5	18.3	43.1	26.3	12.4	50.9	61.8	No	Yes
Male	328	98.2	22.1	42.0	25.3	10.6	47.8	57.4	N/A	N/A
Female	320	98.8	14.3	44.2	27.2	14.3	54.2	66.1	N/A	N/A
White	209	99.0	14.6	34.3	30.8	20.2	59.6	74.3	No	Yes
Africian American	413	98.3	21.2	47.1	23.8	7.9	44.8	44.9	No	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	77.4	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	16	93.8	N/A	60.0	20.0	20.0	80.0	50.3	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	55.4	I/S	I/S
Disabled	82	93.9	63.0	34.2	N/A	2.7	8.2	19.4	No	No
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	N/A	N/A
Limited English	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	38.5	I/S	I/S
Subsized meals	431	98.1	21.9	46.0	23.1	8.9	44.8	45.6	No	Yes
Mathematic	cs - Stat	e Perfo	rmance	Objectiv	ve = 70.	0% (Pro	oficient o	or Advar	nced)	
All Students	646	98.8	25.8	40.3	23.0	10.9	46.8	62.7	No	Yes
Male	327	98.5	25.0	39.7	24.7	10.6	48.7	61.8	N/A	N/A
Female	319	99.1	26.6	40.9	21.3	11.3	44.9	63.6	N/A	N/A
White	208	99.5	18.7	39.4	22.2	19.7	56.6	75.1	Yes	Yes
Africian American	412	98.5	29.9	42.5	22.5	5.1	40.4	45.1	No	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	83.8	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	16	93.8	26.7	13.3	33.3	26.7	60.0	58.5	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	59.2	I/S	I/S
Disabled	81	95.1	61.6	31.5	5.5	1.4	16.4	21.8	No	Yes
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	N/A	N/A
Limited English	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	52.3	I/S	I/S
Subsized meals	429	98.6	30.1	42.4	20.7	6.7	39.8	47.9	No	Yes
		'	'	'	'	'	'	'	•	'
P	hysical S	Science	(End-c	of-Cours	e Perfo	ormance	by Gro	up)		
All Students	646	88.9	65.2	13.8	5.0	5.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Male	327	87.2	63.3	13.8	6.1	4.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Female	319	90.6	67.1	13.8	3.8	6.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	208	88.5	56.7	12.0	9.6	10.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Africian American	412	89.3	70.4	13.3	2.9	2.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian/Pacific Islander	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

16

3

80

N/A

2

430

Hispanic

Disabled

Migrant

Limited English

Subsized meals

American Indian/Alaskan

87.5

I/S

62.5

I/S

I/S

88.4

56.3

I/S

60.0

I/S

I/S

70.9

31.3

I/S

N/A

I/S

I/S

11.6

N/A

I/S

N/A

I/S

I/S

3.7

N/A

I/S

2.5

I/S

I/S

2.1

N/A

^{*} Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

Two-Year HSAF	Trend D	ata								
	School Year	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	District % Proficient or Advanced*	State % Proficient or Advanced*	
English/La	anguage A	Arts - Stat	te Perforn	nance Ob	jective =	71.3% (P	roficient o	or Advano	ced)	
All Students	2008	677	98.1	14.1	35.1	36.6	14.2	63.3	69.7	
All Students	2009	648	98.5	18.3	43.1	26.3	12.4	50.9	61.8	
Math	Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 70.0% (Proficient or Advanced)									
All Students	2008	677	98.2	21.5	39.2	31.3	8.1	52.6	67.2	
All Students	2009	646	98.8	25.8	40.3	23.0	10.9	46.8	62.7	

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance		
	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	N/A	1.7%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	5.0%	5.8%

	Our District	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	8.8%	0.0%	No
Student attendance rate, grades K-8	95.7%	94.0%*	Yes

^{*} Or greater than last year

^{**} Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.